

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/809,757	Applicant(s) YATES ET AL.	
	Examiner Juliet C. Switzer	Art Unit 1634	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** amended

 (1) Juliet C. Switzer.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Benjamin Adler.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 25 August 2005
**Time:** afternoon
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*102(a) in view of Song et al. 2002*

Claims discussed:

*4, 17 and the addition of claims 24 and 25*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Song et al. 2002*
**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability *and in Part II above.*  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed that further declarations were necessary to overcome the 102(a) rejection. Mr. Adler indicated that these would be filed.

Pending the filing of the proper declarations, examiner's amendments to place the claims in condition for allowance were discussed and agreed upon. Further, in view of the allowable products, method claims commensurate in scope with those products were agreed upon, and it was agreed that the restriction between the allowed products and the associated methods would be WITHDRAWN. It was agreed that all changes would be made via examiner's amendment..